

Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin & Associates

Opinion Research &
Public Policy Analysis

TO: Interested Parties

FROM: David Metz and Curtis Below
Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin & Associates

RE: Key Findings from Recent Illinois Voter Survey

DATE: May 21, 2009

Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin & Associates (FMM&A) recently conducted a survey of 600 voters in Illinois to assess their attitudes toward the concept of making a significant state capital investment in land and water conservation.¹ Overall, the survey results show that while voters are highly concerned about the condition of the Illinois economy, they are strongly supportive of making substantial investments in land and water conservation. More than three-quarters would like to see state spending for land conservation kept the same or increased, and **fully 79-percent back dedicating \$350 million from the state's capital budget to land and water conservation programs.** To a remarkable degree, support for this investment cuts across all major subgroups of the Illinois electorate.

Among the key specific findings of the survey are the following:


- **Eight in ten Illinois voters support a \$350 million capital investment in land and water conservation.** As shown in **Figure 1** on the following page, when voters are asked whether they support or oppose dedicating \$350 million (or 1.3%) of the proposed \$26 billion Illinois capital investment budget to land and water conservation, they offer overwhelming support. Nearly eight in ten (79%) back the idea, more than five times the number who oppose it (15%).

¹ **Methodology:** From May 12-14, 2009, FMM&A completed 600 telephone interviews with Illinois voters. The margin of sampling error for the full sample is +/- 4.0%; margins of error for subgroups within the sample will be higher.

2425 Colorado Ave. Suite 180
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Phone: (310) 828-1183
Fax: (310) 453-6562

1999 Harrison Street Suite 1290
Oakland, CA 94612
Phone: (510) 451-9521
Fax: (510) 451-0384

FIGURE 1:
**Support for \$350 Million in State Capital Budget for
Land and Water Conservation in Illinois**



As impressive as the overall level of support for this concept is the degree to which it cuts across demographic subgroups of the Illinois electorate. The idea is supported by:

- 77% of women and 80% of men;
 - 85% of Democrats, 70% of Republicans, and 74% of independents;
 - 81% of voters under age 50 and 76% of voters age 50 and over;
 - 78% of whites and 81% of voters of color; and
 - 80% of Chicago residents, 80% of those in the Cook County suburbs, 76% of voters in the collar counties, 82% of those in the northern part of the downstate region, and 73% of those in southern Illinois..
- **Support is nearly as strong for a larger \$700 million capital investment in land and water conservation.** Illustrative of an electorate committed to investing in conservation, more than seven in ten voters (71%) support dedicating an even larger \$700 million (or 2.7%) of the proposed \$26 billion Illinois capital investment budget to land and water conservation.
 - **A large majority of voters want state spending on land and water conservation to be increased.** Setting aside the specific issue of the capital budget, a 76-percent plurality of Illinois voters believe that state spending on land and water conservation

should either be increased (40%), or at least be kept at the same level (36%). Fewer than one in five (14%) believe that it should be scaled back.

- **Voters offer strong support for these investments despite evident concern about the economy.** The survey results make clear that voters have significant concerns about the economy and the overall direction of the state. A 54-percent majority of voters believe that Illinois is “pretty seriously off on the wrong track,” and issues related to the economy and government finances are among voters’ top concerns: 91 percent rate “state budget deficits” as an “extremely” or “very serious” problem, while 86 percent say the same for “the economy” and 79 percent for “waste and inefficiency in state government” – making them easily voters’ top concerns.

The reason these economic concerns do not deter voter support for investments in conservation is that voters see no conflict between the issues. Specifically:

- 73 percent of voters agree that *“Even though state revenues are down, the Illinois state budget should include funding for land and water conservation;”* and
- 83 percent agree that *“We can protect land and water and have a strong economy at the same time, without having to choose one over the other.”*

Furthermore, voters see continued funding for land conservation as vital to the state economy. Over seven in ten find the fact that fishing, hunting and wildlife-associated recreation generate \$4 billion in economic activity annually and over 30,000 jobs in Illinois as convincing reasons to support the General Assembly dedicating \$700 million of the Illinois capital investment budget to land and water conservation.

Overall, the survey results show that while voters are highly concerned about the economy, they are also highly committed to additional state funding for land and water conservation in Illinois. Voters see dedicating a small portion of the state’s capital budget to that goal as highly reasonable, and believe that it is an important investment even when state revenues are down. Ultimately, Illinois voters are highly confident that the state can enjoy a strong economy while still protecting its vital land and water resources.